

United States Patent and Trademark Office

(w)

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/786,730	02/25/2004	Peggy Hasan	LUTZ 2 00271 2741		
48116 FAY SHARPE	7590 01/07/2008 /LUCENT	EXAMINER			
1100 SUPERIO	OR AVE	•	SING, SIMON P		
SEVENTH FLOCIEVELAND			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2614		
				U	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/07/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/786,730	HASAN ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
	·	Simon Sing	2614		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	-			
Period fo			·		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 Se</u>	eptember 2007.	•		
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3)	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims				
 4) Claim(s) 1-4,6-8,10,11 and 13-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4, 6-8, 10, 11 and 13-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
2) Notice Notice (3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte		

10/786,730 Art Unit: 2614

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant is advised that the final rejection mailed on 11/27/2007 is vacated due to lack of authorized signature.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 6-8, 10, 11 and 13-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hanson et al. US Patent No. 6,535,585 in view of Kim US Patent Pub. No. 2002/0006782.
- 2.1 Regarding claim 1, Hanson discloses a method for sending voicemail retrieval notice to a caller, comprising the steps of:

requesting a voicemail retrieval (delivery status) notification message by a caller (figure 2A, steps 34 & 36; column 5, lines 20-23);

leaving a voicemail message to a called party by the caller (figure 2A, steps 20, 22, 26, 28 & 32; column 5, lines 15-19);

10/786,730 Art Unit: 2614

retrieving the voicemail message by the called party (figure 2B, steps 54 & 56; column 5, lines 52-56);

determining, by using communication system 10 to check a user contact database, that the caller registers (subscribes) voicemail retrieval notification service (figure 2A, steps 34-40; figure 2B, step 64; column 1, lines 50-56; column 4, lines 59-61; column 5, lines 27-31); and

sending the notification messaged, including the time of retrieval, to the calling party (figure 2B, step 64; column 6, lines 3-12).

Hanson teaches a voicemail retrieval (delivery status) notification system within a landline public switched telephone network (PSTN) or a data network 13, but fails to specifically teach that the network is a wireless communication network in that a mobile switching center (MSC) determines, by checking a calling party's database (profile), whether a calling party subscribers to the notification service.

However, Kim discloses a wireless communication system in figure 1. Kim teaches a voice messaging system 51 within mobile switching center (MSC) 50 to provide voicemail service to cellular subscribers. Kim further teaches that the MSC 50 receives and processes a voicemail message from a calling party (paragraphs 0038-0040), and sends a voicemail retrieval notification acknowledgement message to the calling party, indicating that the called party has retrieved the voicemail message (paragraphs 0014 and 0050-0053).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Hanson's reference with the teaching of Kim

10/786,730 Art Unit: 2614

so that the voicemail retrieval notification feature in Hanson would have also applied to a wireless network, and since the MSC provided overall control to the wireless communication system, including receiving voicemail, processing voicemail, and voicemail retrieval notification, then it would have been also obvious that the MSC checked the user contact database, which stored subscriber profiles, to determined whether a calling party subscribed to voicemail retrieval notification service. The motivation for this modification was to provide similar voicemail service features to both wired and wireless communications networks.

- 2.2 regarding claim 2, the modified Hanson reference teaches sending the retrieval notification message to the calling party, and the notification message can be a SMS in a wireless network (Kim: paragraph 0039).
- 2.3 Regarding claim 3, Hanson teaches delivering a voice notification message to a telephone (figure 2B, step 64; column 6, lines 3-5; column 3, lines 37-42).
- 2.4 Regarding claim 4, Hanson teaches calling the calling party to deliver the notification message, and it is obvious that when the calling party is not available, the call is routed to the calling party's voicemail system, and the notification message is delivered to the caller's voicemail.

10/786,730 Art Unit: 2614

- 2.5 Regarding claim 6, Hanson teaches prompting the calling party to register retrieval notification service and receiving a confirmation from the caller (figure 2A, steps 34, 36 & 40).
- 2.6 Regarding claim 7, Hanson teaches a flag (voice message from the calling party successfully delivered to the called party) for sending the notification message to the caller (figure 2B, step 56).
- 2.7 Regarding claim 8, in order to send the retrieval notification message to the calling party when the voicemail message is successfully delivered, it is obvious that the calling party's contact information is associated with the voicemail message.
- 2.8 Regarding claim 10, it is obvious that the notification message includes the called party's identification and telephone number dialed by the caller, so that the calling party knows which voicemail message is delivered.
- 2.9 Regarding claim 11, Hanson teaches that the notification message includes the time of delivery (column 6, lines 8-11).
- 2.10 Regarding claim 13, Hanson discloses a system for sending voicemail retrieval notice to a caller, comprising:

10/786,730 Art Unit: 2614

means for enabling a caller to request a voicemail retrieval (delivery status) notification message (figure 2A, steps 34 & 36; column 5, lines 20-23);

means (communication system 10) to determine that the caller registers (subscribes) voicemail retrieval notification service (figure 2A, steps 34-40; figure 2B, step 64; column 1, lines 50-56; column 4, lines 59-61; column 5, lines 27-31); and means for sending the notification messaged, including the time of retrieval, to the calling party (figure 2B, step 64; column 6, lines 3-12).

Hanson teaches a voicemail retrieval (delivery status) notification system within a landline public switched telephone network (PSTN) or a data network 13, but fails to specifically teach that the network is a wireless communication.

However, Kim discloses a wireless communication system in figure 1. Kim teaches a voice messaging system 51 within mobile switching center (MSC) 50 to provide voicemail service to cellular subscribers. Kim further teaches that the MSC 50 receives and processes a voicemail message from a calling party (paragraphs 0038-0040), and sends a voicemail retrieval notification acknowledgement message to the calling party, indicating that the called party has retrieved the voicemail message (paragraphs 0014 and 0050-0053).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Hanson's reference with the teaching of Kim so that the voicemail features of Hanson would have also applied to voicemail system in a wireless network. The motivation for this modification was to provide similar voicemail service features to both wired and wireless communications networks.

Page 7

Application/Control Number:

10/786,730 Art Unit: 2614

- 2.11 Regarding claim 14, Hanson teaches means for the calling party to leave a voicemail message for the called party (figure 2A, steps 26 &28), and means for the called party to received the voicemail message (figure 2B, step 54).
- 2.12 Regarding claim 15, Hanson teaches means for sending the notification message to the calling party, indicating the time when the voicemail message is delivered to the called party (figure 2B, step 64; column 6, lines 8-11).
- 2.13 Regarding claim 16, the modified Hanson reference teaches means for sending the retrieval notification message to the calling party, and the notification message can be a SMS in wireless network (Kim: paragraph 0039).
- 2.14 Regarding claims 17 and 18, Hanson teaches means for delivering a voice notification message to a telephone (figure 2B, step 64; column 6, lines 3-5).
- 2.15 Regarding claim 19, Hanson teaches means for sending a prompt to the caller (figure 2A, step 34).
- 2.16 Regarding claim 20, Hanson teaches means for accepting a calling party's response to the prompt (figure 2A, step 36).

10/786,730 Art Unit: 2614

2.17 Regarding claim 21, Hanson teaches means for setting an indicator that a calling party has accepted voicemail retrieval notification service (figure 2A, step 36; figure 2B, steps 56 & 64).

Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant's arguments filed on 09/06/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- Claim 1: Applicant contends that the prior art on record does not teach a MSC for checking a calling party's profile to determined if the calling party subscribers to the voicemail retrieval notification service. Examiner respectively disagrees. As stated in the rejection above, Hanson teaches a communication system 10 for checking a calling party's profile in the user contact database to determine if the calling party subscribers to the voicemail retrieval notification service. Kim teaches a MSC provides overall control to a wireless network, including receiving voicemail, processing voicemail, and sending out voicemail retrieval notification to a calling party. Therefore, it would have been obvious that when the Hanson's system was incorporated in a wireless network, the MSC would have performed the determining step instead of the communication system 10 of Hanson.
- Claim 13: Applicant presents the same argument for claim 13 as in claim 1.

 However, since claim 13 does not recite a MSC for the determining step, therefore,

 Hanson in view teaches the claimed limitation of claim 13.

10/786,730 Art Unit: 2614

Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Simon Sing whose telephone number is 571-272-7545. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:30 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Fan Tsang, can be reached at 571-272-7547. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-2600.

Page 10

S. Sing

12/21/2007